



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/654,103	08/31/2000	Sunay Tripathi	SUN1P707	5614	
22434 7	7590 06/06/2005		. EXAM!	INER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			GOLD,	GOLD, AVI M	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
02,	0 , 10.12 0200		2157	2157	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2009	DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/654,103	TRIPATHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Avi Gold	2157		
Examiner	Art Unit	-	

	Avi Gold	2157						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin								
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidax	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see continuation sheet.			nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 11:

Regarding the argument to claim 1, the applicant argues that the reference, Gupta, does not disclose sending the connection identifier and the associated HTTP request data for the HTTP request from the HTTP clients in a first stream from a network cache accelerator of the web server to a file system of the web server. The examiner disagrees, as seen in, column 2, lines 39-49, there are packed HTTP messages sent to a web server for processing which inherently includes a file system. The sending of the messages to the server in a stream emulates the same results as the claimed network cache identifier. The packed messages are sent in streams, as shown in column 5, lines 4-11, which would include a first stream. Connection identifiers are attached along with the request data for the HTTP messages/requests as shown in column 6, lines 1-26. It is shown in column 7, line 66 – column 8, line 10, that multiple clients are used for collecting HTTP requests.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100